

Amit Bhaduri, Nadia Garbellini, Ariel Wirkierman - 08/02/2014 [papers]

A collage of torn paper strips with economic terms like 'recession', 'stimulus', 'spending', and 'layoffs'. The strips are layered and torn at the edges, creating a textured, collage-like effect. The words are in various sizes and orientations, some appearing to be cut out from a larger document. The overall theme is economic hardship and government intervention.

surplus country with independent military power has introduced an unknown variable: the possible use of massive dollar surplus to challenge the hegemony of the dollar is now an issue.

The growing importance of external relative to internal demand means greater openness to trade in goods and services and FDIs, but most of all to trade in *financial assets*[1] on secondary markets, arising from different layers of claims of indirect or partial ownership, which can be created and multiplied at will. 'Shadow banking' develops in a financial sector escaping supervision of monetary authorities and creating its own network of contracts, guarantees and insurances, in a closed self-referential system. The composition asset portfolios change due to expectations about exchange, interest, and corporate tax rates, but most of all about capital gains and losses on asset prices. Expectations on capital gains and losses can significantly influence national economic policies through the fear of capital outflows.

Kalecki foreseen this possibility while discussing the political viability of full employment policies over time and their impact on the 'investment climate', arguing that maintaining the authority structure in a capitalist democracy requires capitalists to retain the initiative of managing the economy not only by disciplining workers but also being in a commanding position relative to the state. High employment allows the initiative of policy making to pass from the captains of industry to the government, and weakens the threat of job-loss. To strengthen the authority structure of a capitalist democracy, demand management must create a favourable climate for *private* investment. Full employment policies are resisted in the name of 'sound finance', denying the basic tenet of demand management and falling back to the false analogy between individuals and society.

In an open economy the circular flow between total expenditure and income implies an accounting identity: any excess of private, corporate or government expenditure over income of that particular institutional sector's income is balanced by a corresponding current account deficit of other sectors.[2] The excess of government expenditure over revenues is arbitrarily singled out as the main cause of current account deficits.

Separating monetary and fiscal policy through the independence of central banks and targeting inflation rather than employment were early signals of policy change. A competitive reduction in corporate tax rates with relatively mobile capital and immobile labour increased the ratio of taxes on wages to taxes on corporate profits, fueling tax payers' dissent which got directed towards inefficiency of public spending. Rolling back of the public sector became acceptable even in formal social democracies.

2014 will therefore probably see the finance-led growth model, harshly criticised after 2007, back to the fore. The compromise between demand management and growing inequality has been solved by rising asset prices. The market for financial assets has been stimulated through tax cut for those who mostly owned such assets, making private debt to explode. Buoyant expectations about asset price rise simultaneously raised borrowers' credit worthiness and improved lenders' balance sheets. A debt driven consumption boom seemed to solve the problem of effective demand while consolidating the dominant position of the financial sector. The old model of cooperative capitalism was replaced by a model of 'Great Moderation' in which the financial sector replaced the state in sustaining demand. And capital inflows on the lure of high capital gains can hide chronic trade deficit.

Sustaining expectations about asset prices enabling increased borrowing for consumption is the central mechanism on which the model hinges. In the last analysis, this is the principle on which many central banks base their monetary policies, its vulnerability being the fragility of such expectations.

After 2007 we should have learnt that in such an institutional framework, as long as the real economy expands, private debt rise without either central supervision or a lender of last resort; the distinction between 'money' guaranteed by central banks and private credit contracts becomes increasingly blurred. The financial system increasingly de-linking from the real economy; in extreme cases the real economy may stagnate or even decline while the prices of financial assets continue to rise. This growing gap is the prelude to a financial crisis: the probability of private defaults increases with stagnant incomes and rising debt, and even small defaults can suddenly push the fragile financial sector to a crisis. Defaulted loans have to be covered by liquidity guaranteed by the monetary authority as lender of last resort, triggering the possibility of a chain reaction. A financial catastrophe due to sudden freeze of credit looms large.

The irony of the situation is that private financial institutions are rescued by governments which otherwise restrain their own budget. The solution is of limited use when the private investment climate is depressed in the aftermath of a financial crisis in a stagnating economy. There are not many willing to undertake long term investment. The financial sector is salvaged but the real economy continues to stagnate. Under the compulsions of democracy the ultimate irony may even turn out to be old remedy of massive public investment with deficit financing to restore confidence in the climate for private investment in an economy in the grip of a long recession!

*Amit Bhaduri (Jawaharlal Nehru University, Delhi), Nadia Garbellini (Università di Bergamo), Ariel Wirkierman (Università Cattolica, Milano)

[\[VERSIONE ITALIANA\]](#)

[1] According to BIS statistics, the volume of trade in the foreign exchange markets increased from a daily 60 billion in 1983 to 1490 billion in 1998, and the ratio of foreign exchange transaction to world export rose from 12:1 to 100:1 in the same period. The central banks together had a reserve of 1550 billion in 1997 hardly sufficient to cover a single day's trade in the foreign exchange. For more details see, Nayyar (2006) *Globalization, history and development*, CJE, 30:139-157. [2] J.Steindl (1941), *The control of the economy*, chapter 16:216—228, Economic Papers, Macmillan, London.